



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,007	06/18/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0849 3397		
53184 7	590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER		
i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC. ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD DALLAS, TX 75234			RUDY, ANDREW J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3627		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•							
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/884,00	7	TENORIO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			seph Rudy	3627	_			
TI Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communicate	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORT THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to o Any reply o	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of time may be available under the provisions of 376) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day do for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. 1ys, a reply within the statury period will apply and with by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status								
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed o	n 19 August 2005	_					
	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition (of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are pending Of the above claim(s) is/are v im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from con	nsideration.	· .				
Application I	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
10) The	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Арр	licant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by				-			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acki a) Acki 1. 2. 2. 3.	nowledgment is made of a claim for II b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been cuments have been the priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)	040)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Informatio	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC s)/Mail Date	•		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant is directed towards the May 19, 2005 Non-final Office Action, paragraph 3, regarding the body of the rejection. Applicant's August 19, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. In short, the Examiner does not know what seller database is being referenced to. Applicant's REMARKS do not clarify the matter. Neither the claims alone or in combination with the descriptive portion of the specification along with the drawings provide a clear reading of the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al., US 6,338,067.

Applicant is directed towards the May 19, 2005 Non-final Office Action, paragraph 5, regarding the body of the rejection. Applicant's August 19, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

The discrepancy noted by the Examiner in the previous Office Action is similar in scope and content to a potential error claimed by Applicant. It is noted that only one rule need be present to fully meet Applicant's claim language. This rule may be no more than recognizing the characters of the product data that is analyzed by Baker. As is, the analysis executed by Baker meets in broad scope and content this terminology for one of ordinary skill in the art. To have interpreted it as such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. This analogy is similar for the claim 34 "means for" terminology. Regarding claim 35 "a search interface operable to communicate speech query for product data to a seller database" may be no more that the web page interfacing over the Internet. It is noted intended use claim language, e.g. "if two" or "operable to" is given less patentable weight than positively recited claim language, i.e. an electronic system. The degree of what weight it is given is indeterminable. As is, it appears Baker meets in broad scope and content this intended use claim language. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such with Baker.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Archen Joseph Roby